

COMMENTS

This Amendment is submitted in response to the Office Action dated January 3, 2007, having a shortened statutory period set to expire April 3, 2007. In the present Amendment, amendments are proposed for Claims 1, 4, 6, 9 and 14, and Claims 17-18 are added. Claims 1-18 are presently pending in the present application.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103

In paragraph 1 of the present Office Action, Claims 1-2, 7-10 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by *Kazuo* (Japanese Publication #11-013564 – “*Kazuo*”). In paragraph 3 of the present Office Action, Claims 3-5 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kazuo* in view of *Ohnishi et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 6,525,932 – “*Ohnishi*”). In paragraph 4 of the present Office Action, Claims 6 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kazuo* in view of *Jaggers et al.* (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0119800 – “*Jaggers*”). In light of the present amendments, Applicant respectfully traverses all rejections.

With regards to exemplary **Claim 1**, a combination of the cited art does not teach or suggest a wireless phone that has “an external antennae extending away from the first component,” as supported by originally filed paragraph [0021] and FIGs. 4a-d, and “a second component permanently hinged to the first component by a hinge, wherein the hinge allows the first component to be selectively rotated about the hinge, at an obtuse angle away from the first component, to reposition the antenna to achieve optimal reception,” as supported by paragraph [0024] and FIG. 4d.

With regards to exemplary **Claim 4**, a combination of the cited art does not teach or suggest “an external microphone that is hinged to the computer on a swivel, wherein the external microphone is capable of swinging downwards when the cell phone is uncoupled from the

computer for use as a standalone voice telephone,” as supported by paragraph [0023] of the originally filed specification.

With regards to exemplary **Claim 6**, a combination of the cited art does not teach or suggest coupling the cell phone to the computer via “an IEEE 1394 compliant connector plug,” as supported by paragraph [0025] of the originally filed specification.

With regards to exemplary **Claim 9**, a combination of the cited art does not teach or suggest a computer that has “a modem that is oriented between the interface port and a south bridge in the computer, wherein the south bridge is a chipset Input/Output arbiter that includes necessary interface logic to convey signals from a high speed interconnect bus in the computer,” as supported by paragraphs [0033] and [0036], and FIG. 7 of the originally filed specification. Furthermore, a combination of the cited art does not teach or suggest that the computer includes “a packet converter that converts data packets from the wireless phone” from a GPRS (**Claim 17**) cell phone data packet protocol to a TCP/IP (**Claim 18**) network data packet protocol, as supported by paragraph [0032] of the original specification.

CONCLUSION

Applicants now respectfully request a Notice of Allowance for all pending claims in the present application.

No extension of time for this response is believed to be necessary. However, in the event an extension of time is required, that extension of time is hereby requested. Please charge any fee associated with an extension of time as well as any other fee necessary to further the prosecution of this application to **IBM CORPORATION DEPOSIT ACCOUNT No. 50-0563**.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'J. E. Boice', with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

James E. Boice
Registration No. 44,545
DILLON & YUDELL LLP
8911 North Capital of Texas Highway
Suite 2110
Austin, Texas 78759
512.343.6116

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT(S)